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Overview of IFRS 17
Overview of IFRS 17

IFRS 17 and TFRS 9 – timeline

IFRS 17:
- IFRS 17 standard issued
- IFRS 17 start of comparative period
- IFRS 17 effective date 1 Jan 2021

TFRS 9:
- Overlay approach?
- First TFRS 9 annual financial statements
- Deferral approach

Predominant insurance activities?
- No
- Yes

Timeline:
- 2017
- 2018
- 2019
- 2020
- 2021
- 2022

Reporting:
- Implementation period

TFRS 9 Effective date

2021
2020
2019
2018
2017
Overview of IFRS 17

IFRS 17 is expected to improve financial reporting by providing meaningful and comparable information

- Assumptions used in the valuation of insurance contact liabilities reflect the characteristics of the insurance contract rather than the risk related to asset / investment activity
- Single accounting approach
  - Provides up-to-date market consistent information of obligation including value of options and guarantees
  - Reflects time value of money
  - Assumptions used in the valuation of insurance contact liabilities reflect the characteristics of the insurance contract rather than the risk related to asset / investment activity
  - Underwriting revenue and expenses are recognised over time in comparable way to other non insurance business
  - Provides separate information about the investment and underwriting performance
The Standard describes a measurement and presentation model for insurance contracts. To apply the Standard, an entity would apply the following steps:

1. Identify and recognise the contract
   - Defined by the presence of significant insurance risk
   - Some distinct non-insurance components should be separated
   - Recognise a contract when coverage periods begins, unless the contract is onerous

2. Measure the contract at initial recognition
   - Incorporate all available information about the fulfilling CFs
   - Consistent with observable market information
   - May apply simplified approach (premium allocation approach)

3. Remeasure in subsequent periods
   - In each reporting period, re-measure the insurance contract using updated assumptions
   - Recognise the effect of changes in estimates relating to future services in the periods in which the service is provided, rather than in the current period

4. Present results in financial statements
   - Revenue and expense is consistent with that for non-insurance contracts
   - Present cost-based interest expense in P/L and the effect of discount rate changes either in P/L or OCI
   - Disclosure, e.g. amount recognized in the financial statements, significant judgement and the risks that arise from the insurance contract
Insurance contracts

- A contract under which one party (the issuer) accepts significant insurance risk from another party (the policyholder) by agreeing to compensate the policyholder if a specified uncertain future event (the insured event) adversely affects the policyholder.

Significant insurance risk

- Significant if and only if an insured event could cause an additional amount that has commercial substance in any single scenario; consider in a present value basis, but not the probability of the event.
- Significant insurance risk only occurs when there is a possibility that an issuer will incur a loss on a present value basis.
Scope and contract components: Recognition and de-recognition

**Recognition**
- Shall recognise an insurance contract that an entity issues from the earliest of the following:
  - the beginning of the coverage period
  - the date on which the portfolio of insurance contracts to which the contract will belong is onerous
- Shall recognise any acquisition cost

**De-recognition**
- When the obligation specified in the insurance contract is discharged, cancelled or expires
- At that point, the entity is no longer at risk and is therefore no longer required to transfer any economic resources to satisfy the insurance contract
Overview of IFRS 17

Key focus areas of the standard

Risk-Based Capital (RBC)

- Contractual service margin
- Risk adjustment
- Discount rate
- Expected value of future cash flows

Building block approach / variable fee approach

- Liability for remaining coverage
- Risk adjustment
- Discount rate
- Cash flows of claim liability

Presentation / Disaggregation

- Reinsurance
- Transition

Disclosure

Definition and scope

Separation

Financial instruments and other accounting changes
### Overview of IFRS 17

**Overview of the measurement models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Key features</th>
<th>Example products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Building block approach (BBA) | - Default model in IFRS 17  
- Probability weighted discounted cash flows  
- Market-based valuation of options and guarantees  
- Contractual service margin (CSM): to spread recognition of profit and impact of changes  
- Risk adjustment | - Annuities  
- Protection  
- Long-duration non-life business |
| Variable fee approach (VFA)   | - Based on the building block approach, but with additional features for direct participating contracts  
- Market volatility passes through CSM vs Statement of Comprehensive Income (P&L/OCI) for building block approach | - With-profit business  
- Unit-linked business |
| Premium allocation approach (PAA) | - Optional approach for short duration contracts (pre-claims liability)  
- BBA approach used to determine remaining exposure | - Short-duration contract (mostly non-life insurance) |
Overview of IFRS 17

Separated components

Insurance components

- Distinct investment components
- Embedded derivatives, which are not closely related
- Distinct performance obligation to provide goods and services
- Non-distinct investment components

Disaggregation

1 Disaggregation is the exclusion of an unseparated investment component from insurance contracts revenue

Accounting under IFRS 17
Accounting under TFRS 9
Accounting under TFRS 15
Accounting under IFRS 17, disaggregation for presentation in income statement notes
## Overview of IFRS 17

### Level of aggregation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Portfolio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cohorts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Contracts not onerous at inception</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Portfolio**
- A group of contracts
  - subject to similar risks
  - managed together

**Cohorts**
- Permitted to group only contracts issued no more than one year apart

**Contracts not onerous at inception**
- Onerous contracts at inception
- Other profitable contracts
- No significant possibility of becoming onerous

**Assessment based on:**
- Likelihood of changes in estimates which, if they occurred, would result in the contracts becoming onerous
- Using internal information about changes in estimates

- A loss is recognized in the P&L at inception
- CSM is recognized and released as insurance service is provided

**Assessment is done at contract inception – no subsequent re-assessment**
Section 2

Building block approach
Building block approach

Fulfilment cash flows

Example 1: No gain at inception

- PV of future cash inflows: Nil
- PV of future cash outflows
- Risk adjustment
- Contractual service margin (CSM)

Profit that the insurer expects to make during the life of the contract

Example 2: Day one loss

- PV of future cash inflows: Nil
- PV of future cash outflows
- Risk adjustment
- Loss

CSM cannot be negative, expected losses recognised in PL immediately. Need to track separately going forward.
The estimates of CFs used to determine the fulfilment CFs shall include all cash inflows and outflows that relate directly to the fulfilment of the portfolio of contracts:

- Current and explicit (separate from discount rate and risk adjustment)
- Market variables as consistent as possible with observable market prices
- Incorporate all available information in an unbiased manner (including trends)
- Include all CFs within contract boundary
Building block approach

Time value of money

- Adjust the estimates of future cash flows for the time value of money using discount rates that:
  - Reflects characteristics of fulfilment cash flows
  - Consistent with observable market prices for instruments with cash flows that have consistent characteristics with insurance contract, e.g., with respect to timing, currency and liquidity
  - Adjust observed market prices to reflect the characteristics of the liability/ the factors that are relevant for the contracts, e.g., exclude irrelevant risks, estimate the rate beyond the period of observable data
  - Consistent with other estimates used to measure the insurance contract (e.g. inflation, discount rate for participating contracts)

- Top-down approach or bottom-up approach

- No need to discount cash flows which are expected to be paid or received in one year or less
Adjust for duration differences if necessary (No need to adjust for the difference due to liquidity)

Top-down approach
Current market rates of returns: either of own asset portfolio or a reference portfolio

Adjust for risks that are not relevant to the insurance contract, e.g., default risk, market risk

Bottom-up approach
Risk-free yield curve with similar characteristics (e.g., duration, currency)

Adjust for other characteristics of the insurance contracts if necessary

Illiquidity premium: Adjust for liquidity characteristics of the insurance contracts
Building block approach

Risk adjustment

- Compensation that an entity requires for bearing the uncertainty about the amount and timing of the cash flows that arise as the entity fulfils the insurance contract
- RA shall be included in the measurement in an explicit way (i.e. uncertainty should not be included in the future cash flows)
- No prescribed technique so different companies may use different techniques
- Disclosure on the confidence-level is required if the entity uses a technique other than the confidence level technique

Risk Adjustment

Contractual service margin

Time value of money

Future cash flows

- Low frequency but high risk severity
- Duration of contract
- Uncertainty due to lack of experience
- Knowledge about current estimate and trend
- Width of probability distribution
Building block approach

Contractual service margin

- At initial recognition, the CSM is defined as the negative of fulfilment cash flow, floored by zero.
- Purpose of recognizing a positive initial CSM:
  - To eliminate any day 1 gains (if initial CSM is positive)
  - To represent the unearned profit that the entity recognizes as it provides services under the insurance contract
- If CSM is floored by zero at inception, the insurance contract is onerous. All loss should be recognized in P&L at inception
- Objective of the standard is to:
  - Provide principles for the measurement of an individual insurance contract, but that in applying the standard an entity could aggregate insurance contracts provided that it meets that objective; and
  - Onerous contracts should not be aggregated with profit-making contracts
Subsequently, the roll-forward calculation of CSM is summarized as follows:

\[
\text{CSM at the beginning of the reporting period} + \text{Accreted interest} - \text{Amount recognised for services provided in the period} +/\text{Changes in the estimates of future cash flows} +/\text{Changes in RA relating to future coverage} = \text{CSM at the end of the reporting period}
\]

- Locked-in rate at the inception of contract is used for accreting interest.
- An entity should recognise the remaining contractual service margin in profit or loss over the coverage period in a systematic way that best reflects the remaining transfer of the services. For contracts with no participating features, the service represented by the contractual service margin is insurance coverage that:
  - is provided on the basis of the passage of time; and
  - reflects the expected number of contracts in force.
To disaggregate changes in the measurement of the insurance contracts in different line items of the financial statements, depending on the sources of the changes.
2 Building block approach

Case study

► Benefits
  ▶ 2-year term
  ▶ Single premium of BT1,000
  ▶ Sum assured = BT3,000

► Assumption
  ▶ 100 policies sold, with deferrable expenses incurred of BT10,000
  ▶ Best estimate assumption: 10 claims each year
  ▶ Risk adjustment (RA) = BT2,000
  ▶ No other cash flows

► For simplicity, discount rate = 0%

► By applying the building block approach
  ▶ At issue: Total cash flows = 100 * 1,000 − 10,000 − (10+10) * 3,000 − RA 2,000 = 28,000

► Therefore, CSM = 28,000

► Under these settings, the total IFRS 17 insurance liability at issue is:
  ▶ Fulfillment cash outflows = (10+10) * 3,000 + RA 2,000 = 62,000
  ▶ CSM = 28,000
  ▶ Total IFRS 17 insurance liability = 62,000 + 28,000 = 90,000
## Building block approach

### Case study

**Start of Year 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting entries:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0 Dr</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est. future cashflow</td>
<td></td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSM</td>
<td></td>
<td>28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Recognition of est. future cashflow [P - Cl - Co], RA and CSM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 Dr</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est. future cashflow</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Premium received)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 Dr</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est. future cashflow</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Payment of deferrable expenses)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Income statement:

- Insurance contracts revenue
- Incurred claims and expenses
- Acquisition costs
- Operating result
- Investment income
- Profit

### Balance sheet:

- **Assets:**
  - Cash: 90,000

- **Liabilities:**
  - Insurance contract liabilities:
    - Fulfillment cashflow:
      - Est. future cashflow: 60,000
      - RA: 2,000
      - CSM: 28,000
    - Total: 90,000

- **Equity:**
  - Profits: 90,000
At the end of year 1,

- There are 15 claims (as opposed to the 10 claims expected)
- The Company re-estimates year 2 claims as 8 cases, down from 10 previously
- The updated RA = 1,000
- No other assumption changes

Profit driver assumed to be based on no. of policies in-force:

- The amortization factor = 28,000 / (90 + 80) = 164.7
- Based on the remaining policies at end of year 1 (100 – 15), the CSM amortization = 85 * 164.7 = 14,000
- However, there is also a favorable assumption change, where claims are reduced by (10-8) * 3,000 = 6,000
- Therefore ending year 1 CSM = 28,000 – 14,000 + 6,000 = 20,000

For end of year 1,

- The updated future cash flows = 8 * 3,000 = 24,000

Therefore total IFRS 17 insurance liability

- Fulfillment cash flows = 24,000 + RA 1,000 = 25,000
- CSM = 20,000
- Total IFRS 17 insurance liability = 45,000
Building block approach

Case study

End of Year 1

Accounting entries:

Dr   Cr
1.0  Dr  CSM             14,000
     Dr  RA               1,000
     Dr  Est. future cashflow (expected incurred claim) 30,000
     Dr  Acquisition costs 5,000
     Cr  Insurance contract revenue                    50,000
          (Revenue recognition)
2.0  Dr  Claims incurred 45,000
     Cr  Cash                                        45,000
          (Claims recognition)
3.0  Dr  Est. future cashflow 6,000
     Cr  CSM                                        6,000
          (Recognition of impact of favorable change in future claims)

Income statement:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insurance contracts revenue</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incurred claims and expenses</td>
<td>(45,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition costs</td>
<td>(5,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating result</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment income</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Balance sheet:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assets:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance contract liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulfillment cashflow:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est. future cashflow</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Building block approach

Case study

End of Year 2

### Accounting entries:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dr</th>
<th>Cr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Dr</td>
<td>Cr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Est. future cashflow (expected incurred claim)</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acquisition costs</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insurance contract revenue</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Revenue recognition)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Dr</td>
<td>Cr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Claims incurred</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Claims recognition)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Income statement:

- Insurance contracts revenue: 50,000
- Incurred claims and expenses: (24,000)
- Acquisition costs: (5,000)
- Operating result: 21,000
- Investment income: -
- Profit: 21,000

### Balance sheet:

#### Assets:
- Cash: 21,000

#### Liabilities:
- Insurance contract liabilities:
  - Fulfillment cashflow: -
  - Est. future cashflow: -
  - RA: -
  - CSM: -

#### Equity:
- Profits: 21,000
## Building block approach

### Case study

**Comparison – Income Statement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IFRS 17</th>
<th>TFRS 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start of year 1</td>
<td>End of year 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance contracts revenue</td>
<td>- 50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incurred claims and exp.</td>
<td>- (45,000)</td>
<td>(24,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition costs</td>
<td>- (5,000)</td>
<td>(5,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating result</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment income</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>21,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Start of year 1</th>
<th>End of year 1</th>
<th>End of year 2</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross earned premiums</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premiums ceded to reinsurers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net earned premiums</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment income</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other revenue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross benefits and claims paid</td>
<td>- (62,000)</td>
<td>(45,000)</td>
<td>(24,000)</td>
<td>(69,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross change in contract liabilities</td>
<td>- (62,000)</td>
<td>37,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>(69,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net benefits and claims</td>
<td>- (8,000)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(69,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee and commission expenses</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management expenses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenses</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>(8,000)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>21,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Case study

### Comparison – Balance sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IFRS 17</th>
<th>TFRS 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start of year 1</td>
<td>End of year 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asset:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance contract liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulfillment cashflow:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est. future cashflow</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Building block approach

**Case study**
Section 3

**Premium allocation approach**
Insurance contract liability split into:

**Liability for remaining coverage (LFRC)**  
Simplified approach based on allocation of premium  
(analogous to existing UPR, net of DAC and premium receivables)

- Premiums received (plus any additional onerous contract liability)
- Directly attributable acquisition costs
- Liability for remaining coverage

**Liability for incurred claims (LFIC)**  
(analogous to existing claim reserves)

- Risk adjustment
- Discounted present value of cash flows

Like Unearned Premium Reserve – but net of DAC and premium receivables

Like best estimate claim reserves – but expected value, discounted and probability weighted
Premium allocation approach

Example of LFRC after Initial Recognition

A group of contracts with Premium of 120 are issued at 1 Jan. Premium is paid on 31 Dec. Acquisition costs of 12 are incurred at inception. Revenue is earned evenly over the period. No claims are incurred. Debits/ asset balances are negative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current accounting</th>
<th>IFRS 17 - PAA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Start</strong></td>
<td>UPR 120</td>
<td>Liability for remaining coverage (LFRC) (0-12) (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAC (12)</td>
<td>[Equivalent to 120-12-120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Premium debtors (120)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cash 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Total 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mid year</strong></td>
<td>UPR 60</td>
<td>Liability for remaining coverage (LFRC) (-12-60+6) (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAC (6)</td>
<td>[Equivalent to 60-6-120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Premium debtors (120)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cash 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>(54)</td>
<td>Total (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End</strong></td>
<td>UPR 0</td>
<td>Liability for remaining coverage (LFRC) (-66-60+6) 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAC 0</td>
<td>[Equivalent to 0-0-0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Premium debtors 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash (-120+12)</td>
<td>(108)</td>
<td>Cash (-120+12) (108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>(108)</td>
<td>Total (108)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Premium allocation approach

#### Case study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract revenue</td>
<td>-250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release risk margin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims incurred</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition costs (amortized)</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration expenses</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Underwriting result</strong></td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment income</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on insurance liability (unwind of locked-in interest rate)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net profit</strong></td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in insurance contract liability</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value movements on FVOCI assets</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total comprehensive income</strong></td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Invested assets</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance contract liabilities</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Liability for remaining coverage</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Liability for incurred claims</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Estimates for incurred claims</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Risk margin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity</strong></td>
<td>880</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 4

Reinsurance ceded
Reinsurance ceded

Overview of specific requirement

Specific guidance, but with assumptions consistent with the underlying insurance contracts; extended guidance for approach, risk adjustment and presentation.

- Accounting by cedant
- Accounting by reinsurer
- Measurement according to the standard provisions for insurance contracts

- Prospective contracts
- Retroactive contracts

Reinsurance of future potentially occurring claims

Reinsurance of already incurred claims
Reinsurance ceded

Summary of requirement

Largely follows the approach for direct assumed business, but with some specific considerations:

- Consistent assumptions in estimating future cash flows for RI contracts and that of underlying insurance contracts
- Allowance for non-performance by reinsurers within the future cash flows
- Risk adjustment – captures risk being transferred to the reinsurer (so difference between gross and net)
- CSM at initial recognition – captures net cost / net gain (unless retrospective coverage)
- Retrospective reinsurance arrangements
- The decision on whether PAA is applicable needs to be considered separately for the ceded reinsurance
Reinsurance ceded

Example

An entity enters into a 30 percent proportional reinsurance contract and, at the same time, issues corresponding underlying insurance contracts.

The reinsurance coverage does not relate to events that occurred before the purchase of the reinsurance contract.

The entity measures the corresponding **underlying insurance contract** at initial recognition as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPV of cash outflows</th>
<th>900</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPV of cash inflows</td>
<td>(1,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk adjustment</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulfilment cash flows</td>
<td>(40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual service margin</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance contract at initial recognition (immediately before premium received)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The company pays a **single reinsurance premium** to the reinsurer (ceding commissions already deducted) of CU 300 (variant A) or CU 280 (variant B).
Solution

In relation to the reinsurance contracts held, the entity estimates the following:

► The EPV of cash inflows is CU270 = recovery of 30 percent of the EPV of cash outflows of CU900 for the underlying insurance contracts.

► The risk adjustment is assumed to be CU18 = 30 percent of the risk adjustment of CU60 for the direct insurance contracts, since the entity expects that the reinsurance contract held reduces 30 percent of the risk.

► The EPV of cash outflows = the single reinsurance premium paid to the reinsurer.
  ► In Example A: CU 300; and
  ► In Example B: CU 280.
Reinsurance ceded

Solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example A</th>
<th></th>
<th>Example B</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPV of cash inflows (recoveries)</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>EPV of cash inflows (recoveries)</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPV of cash outflows (premium ceded, net of</td>
<td>(300)</td>
<td>EPV of cash outflows (premium ceded, net of</td>
<td>(280)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ceding commission)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ceding commission)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk adjustment</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Risk adjustment</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulfilment cash flows</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>Fulfilment cash flows</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual service margin</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Contractual service margin</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reinsurance contract at initial recognition</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>Reinsurance contract at initial recognition</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Specific issues for reinsurance contracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consideration</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coverage period more than one year</strong></td>
<td>A reinsurance contract might be written that only lasts one year but then provides coverage for the underlying exposure that runs for longer than one year. (e.g. risks attaching reinsurance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open ended renewal</strong></td>
<td>Some reinsurance arrangements are written with an open ended renewal. However, a contract boundary needs to be set for such reinsurance arrangements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reinstatement premiums</strong></td>
<td>Reinstatement premiums may be treated as claims rather than premiums if they relate to claims experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ceding commission arrangements</strong></td>
<td>Ceding commission may be treated as claims rather than premiums if they relate to claims experience.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 5

Presentation and disclosure
## Presentation and disclosure

### Statement of Comprehensive Income: A huge change from today

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement of Comprehensive Income</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>(X)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insurance revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance service expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance service result</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment income</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance finance expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance result</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other profit and loss items</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate tax</td>
<td></td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit after tax</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income</td>
<td></td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total comprehensive income</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Release in contractual service margin
- Change in risk adjustment
  - Expected claims (in fulfilment cash flows)
  - Expected expenses (in fulfilment cash flows)
  - Allocating premium relating to the recovery of directly attributable acquisition costs
    - Excluding investment components

### Actual claims incurred
- Actual expenses incurred
- Allocating premium relating to the recovery of directly attributable acquisition costs
- Onerous contracts
  - Excluding investment components

### Calculated using locked-in rates (if the OCI option is selected)
- Effect of discount rate changes on BEL (if the OCI option is selected)
## Presentation and disclosure

### How performance reporting will change: A comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TFRS 4</th>
<th>IFRS 17</th>
<th>Key Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net earned premiums</td>
<td>Insurance revenue</td>
<td>◀ Insurance contract revenue excludes investment components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest, dividend and other</td>
<td>Insurance services expense</td>
<td>◀ Revenue and expense are recognised as earned or incurred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>investment income</td>
<td>Incurred claims and expense</td>
<td>◀ Insurance finance expense is excluded from insurance service result and is presented (i) fully in P/L or (ii) in P/L and OCI, depending on accounting policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incurred claims and benefits</td>
<td>Acquisition costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in provisions</td>
<td>Gain/loss from reinsurance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit or loss</strong></td>
<td><strong>Insurance service result</strong></td>
<td>◀ Written premiums disclosed in the notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investment income</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insurance finance expense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Net financial result</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Profit or loss</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discount rate changes on insurance liability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(optional)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total comprehensive income</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Presentation and disclosure

### How will your balance sheet change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TFRS 4</th>
<th>IFRS 17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinsurance contract assets</td>
<td>Reinsurance contract assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred acquisition costs</td>
<td>Insurance contract assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premiums receivable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy loans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>Liabilities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance contracts liabilities</td>
<td>Insurance contracts liabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unearned premiums</td>
<td>Reinsurance contracts liabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims payable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key changes for balance sheet

- **IFRS 17 will require separate presentation** of insurance groups which are in a **net asset position** and those that are in a **net liability position**

- **BBA – Premium receivables from policyholders** will no longer be presented within the receivables line. This will instead be netted against the liability for remaining coverage for each group of contract and presented as part of insurance contract liabilities or assets (depending on whether the relevant group of contracts is in a liability or asset position). **PAA – Premium receivable** have to consider whether they still shown on balance sheet.

- **Deferred acquisition costs** will no longer appear as a separate assets line item on the balance sheet. Instead they will be implicitly deferred through inclusion in the insurance contract liabilities (if directly attributable).

- **Reinsurance recoveries on insurance claims** will change in line with underlying inwards valuation basis, but will also require a charge for the expected credit risk.

- **Premium payable to reinsurers** will netted against the reinsurance contract assets for remaining coverage for each groups of contracts.

- **Insurance liabilities** will change to follow the IFRS 17 measurement basis. If the eligibility criteria is met, insurers writing short-term contracts can adopt the premium allocation approach for the premium liability (similar to UPR). Measurement of the outstanding claims liability (estimate for incurred claims) will follow the BBA.
Presentation and disclosure

Disclosures: Purpose and type of information

► **Purpose:**
  ► Information concerning the **amount**, the **future development** and uncertainties of **cash flows** resulting from the insurance contracts

► **Qualitative and quantitative information concerning:**
  ► Balance sheet and P/L items
  ► Significant estimations and their changes
  ► Type and extent of risks, including sensitivity analysis

► **Adequate aggregation level**
  ► Contract type, product group
  ► Geography
  ► Reportable segment, as defined in TFRS 8

► **Transition** to income and expenses in P/L and to assets and liabilities in balance sheet in **tabular format**

► Information concerning **reinsurance assets**
## Presentation and disclosure

### Disclosures: Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance sheet and P/L items</th>
<th>Type and extent of risks</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development of B/S items during business year</td>
<td>In general</td>
<td>Insurance risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used valuation methods and inputs</td>
<td>Risk appetite</td>
<td>Risk exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition of booked premiums to insurance revenues</td>
<td>Risk management</td>
<td>Risk concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest curve for discounting</td>
<td>Regulatory law</td>
<td>Claims settlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Disclosures: Detailed roll forwards – an example (illustrative)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liabilities for remaining coverage</th>
<th>Excluding onerous contracts component</th>
<th>Onerous contracts component</th>
<th>Liabilities for incurred claims</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance contract liabilities 20X0</strong></td>
<td>7,375</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>2,060</td>
<td>9,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance revenue</strong></td>
<td>(1,608)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1,608)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance service expenses</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>(41)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incurred claims and other expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortisation of insurance acquisition cash flows</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losses on onerous contracts and reversals of those losses</td>
<td></td>
<td>(30)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes to liabilities for incurred claims</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment components</strong></td>
<td>(200)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance service result</strong></td>
<td>(1,793)</td>
<td>(41)</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>(635)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance finance expenses</strong></td>
<td>488</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total changes in the statement of comprehensive income</strong></td>
<td>(1,305)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>1,276</td>
<td>(53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flows</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premiums received</td>
<td>1,880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims and other expenses paid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1,400)</td>
<td>(1,400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance acquisition cash flows</td>
<td>(19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total cash flows</strong></td>
<td>1,861</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1,400)</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance contract liabilities 20X1</strong></td>
<td>7,932</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>1,936</td>
<td>10,134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are rounding differences in this table.
## Presentation and disclosure

### Disclosures: Detailed roll forwards – an example (illustrative)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estimates of the present value of future cash flows</th>
<th>Risk adjustment</th>
<th>Contractual service margin</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance contract liabilities 20X0</strong></td>
<td>9,268</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>9,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Changes that relate to current services</strong></td>
<td>(330)</td>
<td>(36)</td>
<td>(238)</td>
<td>(604)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual service margin recognised for services provided</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk adjustment recognised for the risk expired</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(36)</td>
<td>(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience adjustments</td>
<td>(330)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(330)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Changes that relate to future services</strong></td>
<td>(672)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts initially recognised in the period</td>
<td>(325)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in estimates reflected in the contractual service margin</td>
<td>(315)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in estimates resulting in onerous contract losses/(reversal)</td>
<td>(32)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Changes that relate to past services</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to liabilities for incurred claims</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance service result</strong></td>
<td>(1,002)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>(635)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance finance expenses</strong></td>
<td>569</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total changes in the statement of comprehensive income</strong></td>
<td>(432)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>(53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flows</strong></td>
<td>461</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance contract liabilities 20X1</strong></td>
<td>9,296</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>10,134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are rounding differences in this table
Section 6
Transition
Transition

Overview

Decide transition method by group of contracts

Full retrospective approach

If impracticable

Modified retrospective approach

OR

Fair value approach

- Modifications available if necessary given reasonable and supportable information (*)
- Maximise the use of the information needed for full retrospective approach

(*) If no reasonable and supportable information available, use fair value approach
Transition

Estimating CSM on transition – Key requirements

**Full retrospective approach**
- Required when sufficient historical data exists and hindsight is not required

**Modified retrospective approach**
- When full retrospective approach is impracticable
- Not all historical information is available
- Several modifications are included (e.g. level of aggregation, cash flows, discount rates)
- Modifications to be applied to the extent ‘reasonable and supportable information’ is available

**“Fair value-based approach”**
- When full retrospective approach is impracticable
- No historical information about cash flows is available to calculate the CSM
- Insurance liability “calibrated” to fair value
- CSM is positive difference between fair value and fulfilment value
Section 7

Issues from a general insurance perspective
Issues from a general insurance perspective

Premium allocation requirements - reminder

Premium allocation approach (PAA)

To be treated as premium allocation approach rather than the building block approach the following criteria need to be met:

► The entity reasonably expects that doing so would produce a measurement of the liability for remaining coverage that would not differ materially from the building block approach or

► The coverage periods is one year or less

The condition is not met if:

► The contract includes an investment component

► At inception an entity expects significant variability during the period before a claim is incurred (this variability would be expected to increase the longer the coverage period)
Issues from a general insurance perspective

Which contracts don’t meet PAA requirements?

Premium allocation approach (PAA)

Examples of contracts which are typically longer than one year coverage period

- Construction contracts
- Bond contracts
- Extended warranty
- Long term fire contracts
Issues from a general insurance perspective

TFRS 4 technical provision calculations can provide many of the IFRS 17 figures – although not exactly the same …

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TFRS 4</th>
<th>IFRS 17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cashflows</td>
<td>Best estimates (i.e. no margins). Overdue premium receivables and reinsurance receivables shown separately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discounting</td>
<td>Best estimates (i.e. no margins). Overdue premium receivables and reinsurance receivables included in technical provisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No discount rates</td>
<td>Flexibility on the insurer on how to determine discount rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk adjustment / risk margin</td>
<td>Provision for Adverse Deviation (PAD) at 75% confidence level approach prescribed by RBC (Option)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexibility on the insurer on how to risk adjustment. Both gross and net of reinsurance risk adjustments are required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract boundaries</td>
<td>Include contracts once “bound”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Include contracts before inception if they are loss making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Issues from a general insurance perspective

Should I just assume everything is BBA?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some contracts will be BBA, so treating all business as BBA means only one approach is used</td>
<td>Combined ratio metric is not as readily available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can be seen as more directly building on TFRS 4 – only possible difference for balance sheet is adding in CSM</td>
<td>TFRS 4 requires a split between claim and premium provisions anyway – so already provides information to build on for liability for incurred claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As most contracts have coverage period 1 year or less the tracking of the CSM becomes more straight forward</td>
<td>Tracking CSM over time is more complicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For groups with life arms it is more comparable and allows everything to be treated in a similar way</td>
<td>For users of accounts the change to BBA is larger</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once the coverage is “earned” the results under both methods are exactly the same
Issues from a general insurance perspective

Impact on KPIs

**Combined ratios**

Will be changed

- Discounting (both claims and revenues)
- Need to decide whether to include risk adjustment or not
- Earned premiums replaced by revenue – similar but some changes (reinstatement premiums will now appear as a claims item)

**Return on equity**

Pattern of earning of profits will change

- Discounting
- Setting up and releasing of Risk Adjustment
- BBA contracts can earn profits slightly differently
Issues from a general insurance perspective

Onerous contracts and PAA

Defining onerous contracts is a key part of the standard for BBA. For PAA the requirements are slightly different:

Under PAA: “the entity shall assume no contracts in the portfolio are onerous at initial recognition, unless facts and circumstances indicate otherwise.”

How could this be interpreted?

► Lines of business which have been consistently loss making in the past
► Intentional writing of loss making business to gain market share
► Soft market creating loss making contracts
► There is no requirement to individually test each contract
► The effect of gender neutral pricing does not create onerous contracts
Issues from a general insurance perspective

Summary

Best estimate is the current measure of claims liabilities under TFRS 4

Currently discount using risk free rates (not all). OCI solution is new. High operational cost to calculate OCI vs P&L split.

The disclosure of the confidence interval for risk adjustment is current practice. Allocation for onerous contracts and CSM determination introduces new level of granularity

CSM approach is complex and brings changes in assumptions about the future into the current period

Underwriting result and finance result will have a new presentation. New KPIs, strategy, incentives and education are required as well as system changes

Greater granularity in measuring and reporting onerous losses at inception

PAA likely to be the most frequently used measurement basis

Reinsurance mismatch between PAA and BBA between direct and reinsurance

Challenging but much of the required data expected to be available albeit at a more aggregated level
Section 8
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## Operational implications

### The big picture

1. **Policy**
   - New accounting policies/procedures and control documentation
   - IFRS 17 methodology guidance and reporting instructions
   - GL Chart of Accounts changes and account mappings
   - Assumptions setting (modelling)
   - Investment policy changes (TFRS 9)

2. **Performance Management**
   - Changes in MI reports and KPI's
   - Planning, budgeting and forecasting processes need to be adjusted
   - VBM, scorecards and incentive schemes

3. **People**
   - Training
   - Cross functional collaboration (especially for Finance & Risk)
   - Project resourcing & budget
   - Managing change fatigue

4. **Organization**
   - Roles and responsibilities between Actuarial and Finance departments
   - Technical Provisions Assumptions/Expert Judgement Committee
   - Impact on outsourcing contracts

5. **Data**
   - Refinement, upgrading, conversion and migration of (complex) actuarial valuation models
   - New financial reporting data requirements (input/output)
   - Data reconciliations at different levels
   - Data quality, storage and archiving
   - Data security & controls
   - Data governance and master data management

6. **Processes**
   - Materiality concepts/guidelines
   - Updating closing and reporting processes, planning processes, actuarial processes, risk management etc.
   - Internal and external reporting templates including group reporting packages
   - Internal controls and audit trail

7. **Technology**
   - Core systems, investment system, actuarial systems, pricing systems, etc.
   - Posting logic/engines
   - General Ledger, consolidation and reporting systems
   - System interfaces
   - Current system capacities & capabilities (agile technology)
   - New functionalities/features
## Operational implications

### Key lessons learnt so far

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IFRS 17 is much more than an accounting change, it has a major impact on the entire organization (front-, middle- and back-office)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>If you think the IFRS 17 standard is difficult, wait till you try to implement this in real life!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Don’t underestimate the amount of time it takes to find the required data to fulfil the extensive IFRS 17 primary financials and disclosure requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The biggest amount of work sits in the end-to-end Data, Systems &amp; Process (DSP) changes – need to make sure IT does not become the bottleneck!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Follow a proven IFRS implementation methodology (with structured and centrally prepared input templates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Important to emphasize both the content and process skills needed to get the job done (difficult to find people who master both skills equally well)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Need to appoint a separate accounting lead (IFRS 17 specialist), actuarial lead (financial &amp; business impact models), systems lead (ERP/EPM) and conversion project lead (finance change specialist) to jointly manage the IFRS 17 conversion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Operational implications

Due consideration is required across the entire systems architecture

High to Medium complexity across Data, System and Processes

Source Systems (Policy, Claims, Reinsurance, Assets)

Actuarial and Risk models

- IFRS 17 calculation engine
- Accounting Rules engine
- Allocations
- Ledgers
- Consolidation
- Planning, Budgeting, Forecasting and MI
- Operational Data Store
- Master Data Management (MDM)
- Governance Risk Compliance (GRC)

Report, Analytics and Visualization, Disclosure

High severity and complexity of change, significant additional investment

Medium severity and complexity of change, limited additional investment

Low severity and complexity of change, leverage current change/transformation initiatives
Operational implications

We recommend a phased approach to manage the timely implementation of IFRS 17

1. **Perform current state assessment**
   - Do detailed gap analysis
   - Perform financial and operational impact assessments
   - Start with resource planning
   - Educate key stakeholders
   - 2017

2. **Design desired state and develop new architecture**
   - New accounting policies and proforma financial statements
   - Design desired system landscape
   - Tackle issues identified during the operational impact assessment
   - Design actuarial and finance target operating model
   - Allocate people
   - 2018

3. **Implement new processes and systems**
   - Execute planned implementation
   - Go live with new systems architecture
   - Perform parallel runs
   - Ensure wider business impact is managed appropriately
   - Discuss issues with auditors/regulators
   - Workshops and trainings
   - 2019 - 2020
Operational implications

Recommended next steps (in 2018)

- Start your local IFRS 17 impact assessment project(s)
- Mobilize project resources & key stakeholders
- Conduct core team training (covering content & process)
- Perform gap analysis (using pre-populated structured templates)
- Conduct impact assessments (financial, products, systems & processes, people)
- Determine realistic implementation roadmap & budget (including IT)
- Report findings to internal stakeholders (MT, Board, Group etc)
- Discuss findings with external auditor and regulator(s)
- Seek approval for next phase (Design)
Operational implications

Our IFRS 17 thought leadership publications and reference tools

Thought leadership

- IFRS 17 Insurance Accounting Alert (May 2017)
- IFRS 17 transition considerations (May 2017)
- IFRS 17 Insurance contracts: Ready, set … Implications for Hong Kong insurers (March 2017)
- IFRS 17: Shining a light into the value of insurers? (December 2016)
- IFRS 17: Illustrative example of life contract without participation features (June 2015)

Reference tools

We regularly publish thought leadership on IFRS 17 providing valuable insights for your business.

EY
Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

About EY

Ernst & Young is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. Worldwide, our 167,000 people are united by our shared values and an unwavering commitment to quality. We make a difference by helping our people, our clients and our wider communities achieve potential.

For more information, please visit www.ey.com.

EY refers to the global organization of member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to their clients.

© 2018 Ernst & Young
All Rights Reserved.